Introduction

Accuracy: 73.3 %

-12.6%

Context





"... in the moon"

-15.2%





"... in monet style"

-23.0%

Weather





"... in the snow





"a brick ... "

Color





-18.3%

"a red"

Towards evaluating the robustness of image classifiers to text-guided corruptions:

- 1. *Diffusion models* used to edit images to different domains
- 2. Others use synthetic or hand-picked data for benchmarking
- 3. No *manual labeling* needed with our method, allowing creation of large-scale benchmarks with less effort.
- 4. Observed that convolutional models are more robust than transformer architectures.
- 5. Data augmentation techniques can improve the performance on both the original data and the edited images.

Benchmarking Robustness to Text-Guided Corruptions

Mohammadreza Mofayezi and Yasamin Medghalchi

Text-guided Robustness Benchmark

Our Method

- 1. *Null-text inversion* used to edit ImageNet with prompts.
- 2. Benchmark has edited images in *domains* like Drawing, Weather, Color, Texture, and Context.

ImageNet Hierarchy

3. ImageNet images divided into 9 subclasses with specific prompts for each to generate meaningful images: Animal, Plant, Person, Vehicle, Furniture, Tool, Food, Structure, Landscape.

Super Class	Sub-class	Index
Organism	Animal	1
	Plant	2
	Person	3
Artifact	Vehicle	4
	Furniture	5
	Tool	6
	Food	7
Geological Formation	Structure	8
	Landscape	9

Prompt Hierarchy

- 4. Recent text-guided models struggle to apply all prompts to whole images.
- 5. To solve this, we introduce hand-engineered prompts for each subclass to make good edits.
- 6. Images won't convert to damaged or different image if prompts can't be performed. This is crucial for using the process without prompt engineering.

-33.3%

Drawing

Edited images fed to multiple image classifiers to determine their sensitivity to prompts and robustness.

- engineering.
- on edited images.
- corrupted images.





Experiments

Experimental Setup: Classes selected from each super class of ImageNet, 10 images/class, random prompt assigned based on

2. Architecture affects robustness: Swin-Transformer better on original data, but ConvNeXt, ResNeXt, and deep ResNet better

3. Data augmentation improves robustness: Style Transfer and AugMix tested on ResNet-50 and improve accuracy on

Domains affect robustness: All domains reduce classifier accuracy, with *drawing* being the most difficult.